International Journal of Medical Science and Health Research

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

Correlation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function with the Clinical Course and Outcome of Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Brief Review

Beka Mikeladze [1,2,4], Nino Zhvania[1,5], Giorgi Nikolaishvili [2,3,4] & Naira Kobaladze[3,4]

[1] New Vision University, Georgia, Tbilisi

[2] Avicenna Batumi Medical University, Georgia, Batumi

[3] Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia, Batumi

[4] Medcenter – Batumi Referral Hospital, Georgia, Batumi

[5] Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia, Tbilisi

Correspondence: Beka Mikeladze, PhD Student, New Vision University, 11, Nodar Bokhua Str, 0159, Tbilisi, Georgia. Tel: +995 32 24 24 440

doi: 10.51505/ijmshr.2024.8405 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.51505/ijmshr.2024.8405

Received: Aug 15, 2024 Accepted: Aug 16, 2024 Online Published: Aug 30, 2024

Abstract

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally, posing significant challenges in cardiology and internal medicine. AMI is characterized by the sudden interruption of blood flow to a portion of the myocardium, resulting in ischemic injury and myocardial necrosis. This condition presents a wide range of clinical manifestations, from asymptomatic cases to severe complications. Advances in treatment underscore the need for a comprehensive understanding of AMI's path physiology and prognostic indicators to improve patient outcomes. One crucial aspect of myocardial function impacted by AMI is left ventricular diastolic function (LVDF), which involves the heart's ability to relax and fill with blood during diastole. Impaired LVDF can be an early sign of myocardial injury and is a significant determinant of clinical outcomes post-AMI. Ischemic damage to the myocardium can lead to changes in LVDF, affecting relaxation, ventricular filling, and myocardial stiffness, thereby influencing the overall prognosis. Research links LV diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) with various adverse clinical outcomes in AMI patients, including exacerbated heart failure symptoms, adverse ventricular remodeling, and increased risk of chronic heart failure and cardiovascular mortality. Echocardiography, particularly Doppler imaging, is vital for assessing LVDF, with the E/A and E/e' ratios serving as key parameters. An abnormal E/A ratio suggests impaired LV relaxation or increased stiffness, while an elevated E/e' ratio indicates increased LV filling pressures (LVFP) and is associated with worse outcomes. Studies have shown that elevated E/e' ratios predict higher left atrial pressures and increased heart failure risk, correlating with prolonged hospitalization and higher mortality in AMI patients. Conversely, normalization of diastolic parameters can indicate a positive response to therapy and improved recovery. In conclusion, assessing LVDF through echocardiographic parameters like the E/A and E/e' ratios is essential in managing AMI patients. These measures provide valuable insights into LVFP and LVDF, crucial for understanding AMI's clinical course and optimizing patient care. Ongoing

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

research and clinical application of these parameters are vital for enhancing prognostic accuracy and treatment strategies for AMI.

Keywords: Left ventricular diastolic function, acute myocardial infarction, clinical outcomes, echocardiography, prognosis

Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, [1] representing a critical challenge in cardiology and internal medicine. Characterized by the abrupt interruption of blood flow to a segment of the myocardium, AMI results in ischemic injury and subsequent myocardial necrosis. [2] The clinical presentation of AMI varies widely, from asymptomatic cases to those with life-threatening complications. As advancements in treatment modalities continue to evolve, understanding the multifaceted aspects of AMI, including its pathophysiology and prognostic indicators, is crucial for improving patient outcomes.

Methods

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases to identify relevant studies published up to the present date. The search strategy was designed to capture studies that assess left ventricular diastolic function (LVDF) in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and its association with clinical outcomes, including heart failure, arrhythmias, and mortality. Keywords used in the search included "left ventricular diastolic function," "acute myocardial infarction," "clinical outcomes," "echocardiography," “E/e′ ratio,” and "prognosis."

Selection Criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

1. Original research focused on AMI patients with documented LVDF assessment.
2. Utilization of echocardiographic parameters, particularly the E/A and E/e′ ratios, to evaluate LVDF.
3. Reported clinical outcomes such as heart failure, mortality, or adverse ventricular remodeling.
4. Published in peer-reviewed journals with full-text availability in English.

LVDF and AMI

One critical dimension of myocardial function affected by AMI is LVDF. LVDF pertains to the heart's ability to relax and fill with blood during diastole, the phase of the cardiac cycle when the heart muscle is at rest. [3] The impairment of LVDF can be an early indicator of myocardial injury and is increasingly recognized as a significant determinant of clinical outcomes following AMI. [4]

Importance of LVDF

The left ventricle (LV) is responsible for pumping oxygenated blood to the systemic circulation. [5] During diastole, the LV relaxes and expands, allowing blood to flow from the left atrium into

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

the ventricle. This process is essential for maintaining adequate cardiac output and ensuring efficient perfusion of vital organs. In the context of AMI, ischemic damage to the myocardium can lead to altered LVDF, which may manifest as impaired relaxation, decreased ventricular filling, or increased stiffness of the myocardial tissue. [6] Such alterations in LVDF can influence the clinical trajectory of AMI and the patient's overall prognosis. [7]

Pathophysiology of LVDD in AMI

The pathophysiology of LVDD in AMI involves several interconnected mechanisms that impair the heart's ability to relax and fill properly. During an ischemic event, myocardial injury leads to impaired relaxation of the left ventricle, primarily due to disruptions in calcium handling within cardiomyocytes. [8] Ischemia alters calcium uptake and release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, resulting in prolonged relaxation times and increased myocardial stiffness. [9] Additionally, ischemic injury triggers inflammatory responses and neurohormonal activation, notably the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and sympathetic nervous system, which further promote myocardial fibrosis and adverse remodeling. [10] This fibrosis increases ventricular stiffness, [11] raising left ventricular filling pressures and exacerbating diastolic dysfunction (DD). [12] Consequently, the impaired relaxation and increased stiffness of the myocardium lead to elevated end-diastolic pressures, contributing to pulmonary congestion and heart failure symptoms commonly observed in AMI patients. [13]

DD and Mortality in AMI

Today, it can be confidently stated that severe disturbance of the LVDF, particularly the restrictive type, is a predictor of an unfavorable outcome in AMI. Nijland et al. [14] studied the clinical outcomes of patients with AMI who had restrictive DD. Ninety-five patients were included in the study during the first three days of AMI. In the restrictive type group, a 50% mortality rate was observed one year after AMI, whereas in the non-restrictive group, no lethal outcomes were detected. Thus, it was found that the restrictive type of DD is an independent prognostic predictor of mortality after AMI.

Similar results were obtained by Poulsen S.H. et al, [15] who studied 58 patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Mortality 12 months after infarction was observed only in the group of patients who had pseudo normal and restrictive DD. In the same group, the mortality rate was 43%.

GISSI-3 [16] (effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction) As part of the study, an echocardiographic sub-study was conducted in which 571 patients participated and the prognostic role of various echocardiographic parameters was studied. A substudy showed that the best echocardiographic predictor of mortality after AMI at 4 years of follow-up was the presence of irreversible restrictive DD during hospitalization. Overall mortality in this group of patients was 2.9 times higher than in patients with reversible restrictive DD ($p < 0.0003$).
Sakata M. et al. [17] studied the prognostic role of various parameters of transmitral blood flow

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

in patients with myocardial infarction. It was found that patients with fatal outcomes and those who developed acute heart failure had a significantly higher peak transmitral blood flow E/A ratio and a significantly lower left ventricular early diastolic filling blood flow deceleration time than recovered patients without signs of heart failure.

Clinical Outcomes and LVDD

Research has shown that LVDD is closely linked to various clinical outcomes in patients with AMI. DD can exacerbate symptoms of heart failure, such as shortness of breath, fatigue, and exercise intolerance. [18] Furthermore, it may contribute to the development of adverse left ventricular remodeling, which encompasses changes in ventricular geometry and function over time. [19] Adverse remodeling is a well-established predictor of poor outcomes, including increased risk of chronic heart failure and cardiovascular mortality. [20]

Echocardiographic Assessment of LVDF

Echocardiography, particularly Doppler imaging, is the primary tool for assessing diastolic function. [21] Two key parameters derived from Doppler studies are the E/A ratio and the E/e' ratio. The E/A ratio measures the velocities of early (E) and late (A) diastolic filling of the LV. [22] Normally, the E wave, which represents early rapid filling, is larger than the A wave, which represents late filling due to atrial contraction. An abnormal E/A ratio, such as a reduced E/A ratio, may indicate impaired LV relaxation or increased stiffness. [23]

E/e' Ratio as an Indicator

The E/e' ratio, on the other hand, is a more nuanced indicator of DD and reflects the relationship between the early diastolic filling velocity (E) and the early diastolic velocity of the mitral annulus (e'). [24] Elevated E/e' ratios are indicative of increased LV filling pressures and have been associated with worse outcomes in AMI patients. This parameter helps estimate LV filling pressures indirectly and is particularly valuable in identifying patients at risk for heart failure and other adverse events. [25,26]

Clinical Relevance and Research Findings

Research underscores the clinical relevance of these echocardiographic parameters in the context of AMI. For instance, studies have shown that elevated E/e' ratios are predictive of higher left atrial pressures and increased risk of heart failure. [27] In patients with AMI, an elevated E/e' ratio has been linked to a greater likelihood of adverse clinical outcomes, including prolonged hospitalization and increased mortality. [28,29] Conversely, normalization of diastolic parameters over time may indicate a favorable response to therapy and better recovery. [30]

Data Extraction and Analysis

Data from selected studies were extracted independently by two reviewers. This included information on study design, sample size, patient demographics, echocardiographic findings (e.g., E/A and E/e′ ratios), and clinical outcomes. A third reviewer resolved any discrepancies.

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

The extracted data were then synthesized into a summary table (Table 1) to facilitate comparison of key parameters and outcomes across studies.

Table 1. Summary of Key Echocardiographic Parameters and Clinical Outcomes in AMI Patients

Study	Sample Size (n)	Age (Years)	Gender (M/F)	E/A Ratio	E/e′ Ratio	Clinical Outcome	Follow-up Duration
Nijland et al. [14]	9	Mean: 63	62/33	Not reported	Not reported	50% mortality in restrictive DD group	1 year
Poulsen et al. [15]	58	Mean: 67	40/18	Reduced in restrictive DD	Elevated in restrictive DD	43% mortality in pseudonormal and restrictive DD groups	12 months
GISSI-3 [16]	571	Median: 60	400/171	Irreversible restrictive DD: 2.9x higher mortality	Elevated in restrictive DD	Irreversible restrictive DD: best predictor of mortality	4 years
Sakata et al. [17]	300	Mean: 65	210/90	Higher in fatal cases	Lower deceleration time in fatal cases	Higher risk of acute heart failure and fatal outcomes	Not specified

Critical Analysis

The studies were critically appraised for methodological quality, including aspects such as study design (e.g., prospective vs. retrospective), sample size, and duration of follow-up. Particular attention was paid to how well the studies controlled for confounding variables and the statistical methods used to analyze the relationship between LVDF parameters and clinical outcomes.

The analysis included evaluating the consistency of findings across different studies and assessing the strength of evidence supporting key statements made in this review. Studies with higher methodological rigor and those demonstrating clear and statistically significant associations between LVDF parameters and clinical outcomes were given greater weight in the synthesis of evidence.

Vol.8, No. 04; 2024

ISSN: 2581-3366

Limitations

The review acknowledges potential limitations, including the variability in echocardiographic techniques and measurements across studies, as well as differences in patient populations and treatment regimens. The heterogeneity of the data was considered when drawing conclusions, and the implications for clinical practice were discussed with caution.

Conclusion

In summary, the assessment of LVDF, particularly through parameters like the E/A ratio and E/e' ratio, is a crucial component of evaluating and managing patients with AMI. These echocardiographic measures offer valuable insights into LVFP and diastolic function, which are integral to understanding the clinical course of AMI and optimizing patient care. Continued research and clinical application of these parameters are essential for enhancing the prognostic accuracy and treatment strategies for AMI.

References

Roth, G. A., Mensah, G. A., Johnson, C. O., Addolorato, G., Ammirati, E., Baddour, L. M., Barengo, N. C., Beaton, A. Z., Benjamin, E. J., Benziger, C. P., Bonny, A., Brauer, M., Brodmann, M., Cahill, T. J., Carapetis, J., Catapano, A. L., Chugh, S. S., Cooper, L. T., Coresh, J., Criqui, M., … GBD-NHLBI-JACC Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases Writing Group (2020). Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990-2019: Update From the GBD 2019 Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 76(25), 2982–3021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.010

Bhatt, D. L., Lopes, R. D., & Harrington, R. A. (2022). Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Review. JAMA, 327(7), 662–675. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.0358

Pollock JD, Makaryus AN. Physiology, Cardiac Cycle. [Updated 2022 Oct 3]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459327/

Yoon, H. J., Kim, K. H., Kim, J. Y., Cho, J. Y., Yoon, N. S., Park, H. W., Hong, Y. J., Kim, J. H., Ahn, Y., Jeong, M. H., Cho, J. G., & Park, J. C. (2015). Impaired Diastolic Recovery after Acute Myocardial Infarction as a Predictor of Adverse Events. Journal of cardiovascular ultrasound, 23(3), 150–157. https://doi.org/10.4250/jcu.2015.23.3.150

Pollock JD, Makaryus AN. Physiology, Cardiac Cycle. [Updated 2022 Oct 3]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459327/

Ferreira-Martins, J., & Leite-Moreira, A. F. (2010). Physiologic basis and pathophysiologic implications of the diastolic properties of the cardiac muscle. Journal of biomedicine & biotechnology, 2010, 807084. https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/807084

Poulsen S. H. (2001). Clinical aspects of left ventricular diastolic function assessed by Doppler echocardiography following acute myocardial infarction. Danish medical bulletin, 48(4), 199–210.

Lahiri, S. K., Aguilar-Sanchez, Y., & Wehrens, X. H. T. (2021). Mechanisms underlying

pathological Ca2+ handling in diseases of the heart. Pflugers Archiv : European journal of physiology, 473(3), 331–347. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-020-02504-z

Eisner, D. A., Caldwell, J. L., Trafford, A. W., & Hutchings, D. C. (2020). The Control of Diastolic Calcium in the Heart: Basic Mechanisms and Functional Implications. Circulation research, 126(3), 395–412. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315891

Sigurdsson A. (1995). Neurohormonal activation in patients with acute myocardial infarction or chronic congestive heart failure. With special reference to treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. Blood pressure. Supplement, 1, 1–45.

Frangogiannis N. G. (2021). Cardiac fibrosis. Cardiovascular research, 117(6), 1450–1488. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvaa324

Moreo, A., Ambrosio, G., De Chiara, B., Pu, M., Tran, T., Mauri, F., & Raman, S. V. (2009). Influence of myocardial fibrosis on left ventricular diastolic function: noninvasive assessment by cardiac magnetic resonance and echo. Circulation. Cardiovascular imaging, 2(6), 437–443. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.108.838367

Obokata, M., Reddy, Y. N. V., & Borlaug, B. A. (2020). Diastolic Dysfunction and Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Understanding Mechanisms by Using Noninvasive Methods. JACC. Cardiovascular imaging, 13(1 Pt 2), 245–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.12.034

Nijland, F., Kamp, O., Karreman, A. J., van Eenige, M. J., & Visser, C. A. (1997). Prognostic implications of restrictive left ventricular filling in acute myocardial infarction: a serial Doppler echocardiographic study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 30(7), 1618–1624. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(97)00369-0

Møller, J. E., Søndergaard, E., Poulsen, S. H., & Egstrup, K. (2001). The Doppler echocardiographic myocardial performance index predicts left-ventricular dilation and cardiac death after myocardial infarction. Cardiology, 95(2), 105–111. https://doi.org/10.1159/000047355

GISSI-3: effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'infarto Miocardico. (1994). Lancet (London, England), 343(8906), 1115–1122.

Sakata M., Kashiro M., Hirata M. Prognostic Value of Doppler Transmitral Flow Velocity Patterns in Acute Myocardial Infarction The American Journal of Cardiology, 1997, 79 (9), pp. 1165-1169

Ma, C., Luo, H., Fan, L., Liu, X., & Gao, C. (2020). Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: an update on pathophysiology, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. Brazilian journal of medical and biological research = Revista brasileira de pesquisas medicas e biologicas, 53(7), e9646. https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20209646

French, B. A., & Kramer, C. M. (2007). Mechanisms of Post-Infarct Left Ventricular Remodeling. Drug discovery today. Disease mechanisms, 4(3), 185–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ddmec.2007.12.006

Xu, L., Pagano, J., Chow, K., Oudit, G. Y., Haykowsky, M. J., Mikami, Y., Howarth, A. G.,

White, J. A., Howlett, J. G., Dyck, J. R. B., Anderson, T. J., Ezekowitz, J. A., Thompson, R. B., & Paterson, D. I. (2021). Cardiac remodelling predicts outcome in patients with chronic heart failure. ESC heart failure, 8(6), 5352–5362. https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.13626

Faggiano, P., Vizzardi, E., Pulcini, E., Maffeo, D., Fracassi, F., Nodari, S., & Dei Cas, L. (2007). The study of left ventricular diastolic function by Doppler echocardiography: the essential for the clinician. Heart international, 3(1), 42. https://doi.org/10.4081/hi.2007.42

Sharifov, O. F., Schiros, C. G., Aban, I., Denney, T. S., & Gupta, H. (2016). Diagnostic Accuracy of Tissue Doppler Index E/e' for Evaluating Left Ventricular Filling Pressure and Diastolic Dysfunction/Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American Heart Association, 5(1), e002530. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.002530

Robinson, S., Ring, L., Oxborough, D. et al. The assessment of left ventricular diastolic function: guidance and recommendations from the British Society of Echocardiography. Echo Res Pract 11, 16 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s44156-024-00051-2

Andersen, O. S., Smiseth, O. A., Dokainish, H., Abudiab, M. M., Schutt, R. C., Kumar, A., Sato, K., Harb, S., Gude, E., Remme, E. W., Andreassen, A. K., Ha, J. W., Xu, J., Klein, A. L., & Nagueh, S. F. (2017). Estimating Left Ventricular Filling Pressure by Echocardiography. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 69(15), 1937–1948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.01.058

Mitter, S. S., Shah, S. J., & Thomas, J. D. (2017). A Test in Context: E/A and E/e' to Assess Diastolic Dysfunction and LV Filling Pressure. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 69(11), 1451–1464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.12.037

Park, J., Song, Y. J., Kim, S., Kim, D. K., Kim, K. H., Seol, S. H., Kim, D. I., & Ha, S. J. (2022). The long-term prognostic value of E/e' in patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. Indian heart journal, 74(5), 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2022.08.002

Harada, T., Obokata, M., Kagami, K., Sorimachi, H., Kato, T., Takama, N., Wada, N., & Ishii, H. (2022). Utility of E/e' Ratio During Low-Level Exercise to Diagnose Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. JACC. Cardiovascular imaging, S1936-878X(22)00666-0. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.10.024

Park, J., Song, Y. J., Kim, S., Kim, D. K., Kim, K. H., Seol, S. H., Kim, D. I., & Ha, S. J. (2022). The long-term prognostic value of E/e' in patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. Indian heart journal, 74(5), 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2022.08.002

Nallapati, S. N., Otikunta, A. N., Reddy, Y. V. S., & Srinivas, R. (2015). E/e’ as a Predictor of Short-Term Survival Following ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. International Journal of Clinical Medicine, 06(11), 831–837. https://doi.org/10.4236/ijcm.2015.611109

Kane GC, Karon BL, Mahoney DW, et al. Progression of Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction and Risk of Heart Failure. JAMA. 2011;306(8):856–863. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.1201